DUAL LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT in PRESCHOOL CHILDREN: THE CAPACITY ISSUE



Fred Genesee McGill University CARLA 2016

MY GOAL

- To review research relevant to the question of young children's capacity to acquire more than one language:
 what children can do
- Focus on acquisition in non-school settings
 - 1) Typically-developing children: 0-5 YEARS OF AGE
 o research on early pre-verbal and verbal development

2) Children with developmental disorders (0-5 and older)
o children with specific language impairment (SLI)
o Down Syndrome (DS)
o Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD)

1) TYPICALLY-DEVELOPING NEWBORNS are neuro-cognitively prepared to learn more than one language

Evidence:

- Early language differentiation & preferences
- Early speech perception
- o Early word segmentation
- Early word learning strategies
- o Grammatical development

PRE-SCHOOL BILINGUALS

MONOLINGUAL MILESTONES



MILESTONES FOR BILINGUALS ARE THE SAME

Genesee & Nicoladis (2006)

2) SAME GENERAL LEARNING STRATEGIES AS MONOLINGUALS + FLEXIBILITY

EVIDENCE OF FLEXIBLE USE OF STRATEGIES:

- Use facial cues specific to each language to separate the languages
- Use prosodic features of each language to determine word order constraints in each
- acquire alternate labels for same concepts (violate mutual exclusivity constraint)

3) YOUNG BILINGUALS ARE NOT CONFUSED BY DUAL LANGUAGE INPUT

- They differentiate between their input languages and a foreign language within days of birth
- They prefer to listen to input languages over unfamiliar languages
- They acquire grammars that are specific and appropriate for each language
- They use each language differentially and appropriately with speakers of each language
- They avoid grammatical errors when they code-mix

4) COMPETENCE OF YOUNG BILINGUALS MUST CONSIDER BOTH LANGUAGES

- Young bilingual children often lag monolingual children if only one language is examined; the dominant language is a better indicator of competence than the nondominant language
- competence is often the same or better if both languages are assessed (vocabulary, grammar, discourse skills)
- Current proficiency in each language depends on current exposure – that is, child's strengths and weaknesses in each language depends on exposure to each language

5) BILINGUAL CHILDREN ARE DIFFERENT FROM MONOLINGUALS

Differences may reflect:

 different learning environments: amount of input, who provides the input, consistency of input

 specific properties of the input languages (similarity in sounds, words, grammar, discourse)

o use of bilingual-specific learning strategies

NEURO-COGNITIVE PROCESSING of a SECOND LANGUAGE



L anterior insula & L frontal operculum= WORKING MEMORY

- a) <u>weak</u> activation L insula
 b) strong activation of
 - temporal regions in both hemispheres

same pattern as bilinguals

NEURO-COGNITIVE PROCESSING of a SECOND LANGUAGE



L anterior insula & L frontal operculum= WORKING MEMORY a) weak activation L insula
 b) strong activation of temporal regions in both hemispheres

same pattern as bilinguals

NEURO-COGNITIVE PROCESSING of a SECOND LANGUAGE



RIGHT

L anterior insula & L frontal operculum= WORKING MEMORY

- a) weak activation L insula
 b) strong activation of
 - temporal regions in both hemispheres

same pattern as bilinguals

AT-RISK LEARNERS

<u>Commonsense view:</u>

learning an L2 is a burden for at-risk learners and jeopardizes language development

Alternative view:

children with language learning difficulties will have the same difficulties whether they learn 2 languages or only 1

At-Risk Language Learners

- Specific language impairment
- Down Syndrome
- Autism Spectrum Disorder

Kay-Raining Bird, Genesee & Verhoeven (in press, Journal of Communication Disorders)

 All groups exhibited the same kind and pattern of difficulties in comparison to typically-developing (TD) children
 ⇒ NO unique effects

- 2) simultaneous bilinguals in all groups: **BIL =/> MONO**
- 3) successive bilinguals in all groups: BIL* =/> MONO
 * if tested in dominant language or both
- 4) L2 of at-risk groups: BIL< MONO (sometimes) *
 * L2 was often weaker language; but reports provide insufficient information

FRENCH-ENGLISH BILINGUALS with SPECIFIC LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT (SLI)

Paradis, Crago, Genesee & Rice (2003)

bilinguals with SLI* (8-years old)

Fr monos with SL I

Eng monos with SL I

RESULTS

 a) <u>Severity of impairment</u>:
 bilingual children = monolingual children (in English & French)

b) Patterns of impairment:

bilingual children = monolingual children
(in English & French)

SPANISH-ENGLISH CHILDREN WITH LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT

(Gutierrez-Clellen & Wagner, 2006)

BILINGUAL CHILDREN

typicallydeveloping (Eng. Dominant) typicallydeveloping (Sp. Dominant) impaired development (Eng. Dominant)

NO

DIFF

ENGLISH-L1 CHILDREN

Mono Typically-Developing

Mono impaired development

16

11/7/2016



CHILDREN WITH AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER

Marinova-Todd, S.H., & Mirenda, P. (in press). Language and communication abilities of bilingual children with ASD. In J. Patterson & B. L. Rodriguez (Eds.), Multilingual perspectives on child language disorders. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.

bilingual children with ASD = mono children with ASD

CAVEAT!

ALL CHILDREN ARE DIFFERENT

EACH CHILD SHOULD BE CONSIDERED INDIVIDUALLY



IMPLICATIONS

⇒ children with DD have the capacity to learn two languages and should be given the opportunity to do so, IF other conditions are favorable:

- Language environment: Is there sufficient access to both languages?
- Community: What is the use of or need for L2?
- Family: What is the significance of L2 in the nuclear and extended family?
- School: Can the school provide the additional support child needs?
- Parents: Do parents have the resources, energy & patience?
- Individual differences : Does the child have predisposition to cope with their additional learning challenges?



DUAL LANGUAGE DEVELOPMENT & DISORDERS



Johanne Paradis Fred Genesee Martha B. Crago Foreword by Laurence B. Leonard A Handbook on Bilingualism & Second Language Learning 2rd

thank you



fred.genesee@mcgill.ca