Strategies for Keeping Primary and Secondary Students in the Target Language

CARLA Pre-Conference Workshop October 20, 2016 Betsy Koop - add.a.lingua



"The best answer at present is that early age of acquisition, overall fluency, frequency of use, levels of literacy and grammatical accuracy all contribute to the bilingual advantage, with no single factor being decisive [137, 138]. Increasing bilingualism leads to increasing modification of cognitive outcomes" (Bialystok et al, 2013).



How might this finding inform our values and beliefs about students' immersion language abilities?

Bilingualism: Consequences for Mind and Brain. Ellen Bialystok, Fergus I.M. Craig, Gigi LukTrends Cogn Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2013 Apr 1.

Published in final edited form as: Trends Cogn Sci. 2012 Apr; 16(4): 240-250. dli: 10.1016/j.tics.2012.03.001 PMCID: PMC3322418





standing conversation

- Stand up and find someone you don't already know.
- Describe your relationship to the topic of today's workshop: Strategies for Keeping Students in the Target Language.
- Volunteers share aloud what their partner's relationship is to the topic.





session outcomes

- Examine and unpack root causes of the struggle to keep students in the target language.
- Identify strategies designed to keep students in the target language and explore how to implement them.
- Set personal goals with respect to language strategies in order to articulate and deepen the impact on immersion language development.



norms of collaboration

ns of c	ollaboration*
1.	pausar
2.	parafrasear
3.	preguntar
4.	compartir ideas
5.	proveer datos
6.	prestar atención a si mismo y a los demás
7.	pre-asumir intenciones positivas
. para . pose . put i . provi	e (暂停) phrase (重述) questions (提问) deas on the table (提出你的想法) de data (提供数据) attention to self and others (注意自己和其他人
1.	pause
2.	paraphrase
	pose questions
4.	put ideas on the table
4. 5.	put ideas on the table provide data
4.	put ideas on the table provide data



working agreements



four-box synectics

- *"Keeping immersion students in the target language is like_____ because _____."*
- Brainstorm 1 or 2 responses for each box/item to the prompt.
- Groups have 1 minute to select their best item and a spokesperson.





getting to the root of the problem





group brainstorm

- Form a group of 3-4 and select a scribe.
- Spend 2 minutes brainstorming and noting why it is so challenging to keep students in the target language.
- Spend 1 minute selecting your top two and be prepared to share out.





<u>here's what</u>, so what, now

what : language status

- Find a partner.
- Consider:
 - What is the common cultural perspective about the majority language and what "status" does it have in our culture?
 - What is the common cultural perspective about the target language and what "status" does it have in our culture?
- Spend 3 minutes working together to complete the "here's what" column of the chart.

here's what, so what, now what : language status



5 minutes with Dr. Tara Fortune



here's what, <u>so what</u>, now what : language status

- Consider:
 - What message does the current status of languages send to native speakers of the immersion languages in our culture?
 - What message does the current status of languages send to native English speakers in our culture?
 - What is the effect of the current status of languages on students?
- Spend 3 minutes working together to complete the "so what" column of the chart.





Immersion Language



NOV WHAT?



WHAT can we do to keep students in the target language?





Immersion Language





WHAT? 1. Elevate the status of the target / immersion language.

"Myth: Treating each language of a dual language program the same is the best way to respect the equality of both languages.

Fact: Because of the tremendous power of English, it is often necessary to raise the status of the non-English language above that of English to balance the value of the two languages (e.g., Valdes, 1997)" (Hamayan, Genesee & Cloud, 2013, p. 91).



HOW might we elevate the status of the target / immersion language?

- Draw a 2x2 box.
- What might be two evidences of the the status of the immersion language being elevated...
 - within the classroom?
 - within the program?
 - within the school?
 - within the district?



WHAT? 2. Implement (and follow!) an immersion language only policy and timeline.

"It is critical when teaching through students' L2 that teachers promote their students' L2 skill <u>as much as possible</u> during the lower grades so that they can comprehend complex academic content taught through the L2 in the higher grade" (Hamayan, Genesee & Cloud, 2013, p. 91).

"It has traditionally been argued that the use of each language should be strictly separated when teaching specific subjects... The more students are encouraged to use the non-English language, the more likely their proficiency in that language will be enhanced" (Hamayan, Genesee & Cloud, 2013, p. 75).



How might we implement (and follow!) an *immersion language only policy and timeline*?







How might we implement (and follow!) an *immersion language only policy and timeline*?

- Explore the add.a.lingua immersion language only policy and timeline.
- Find your grade level and read the corresponding section.
- Write two "I notice" statements and two "I wonder" statements.



- educational models
- administration
- family and community
- Mandarin frameworks
- Spanish frameworks
- secondary continuing
- pd1. primary
- pd1. secondary
- = pd2 . primary
- = pd2 . secondary
- = pd3 . primary
- pd3 . secondary
- aalpa range finder
- leadership training materials
- internal (admin only)
- informed parent guide
- informed educator guide
- authentic texts
- add category

informed educator guide @ settings

definition and goals of dual language immersion	published	oct 13, 2016	view
language proficiency demystified	 published 	oct 13, 2016	view
quality program "to do" checklist	published	oct 18, 2016	view
key terms	published	oct 14, 2016	view
intermediate example	= hidden	oct 18, 2016	view
advanced example	= hidden	oct 18, 2016	view
performance and proficiency distinction	= hidden	oct 13, 2016	view
⊕ add resource			
early total one-way 🐵 settings			
program design . early total one-way	published	oct 13, 2016	view
community FAQs . early total one-way	published	jun 25, 2015	view
add resource			
90-10 two-way @ settings			
program design . 90-10 two-way	published	oct 13, 2016	view
community FAQs . 90-10 two-way (Español)	 published 	jun 25, 2015	view
community FAQs . 90-10 two-way (English)	 published 	oct 23, 2015	view
⊕ add resource			
middle school and high school @settings			
program design . middle school	 published 	oct 13, 2016	view
program design . high school	 published 	oct 13, 2016	view
community FAQs . secondary	 published 	jun 25, 2015	view
⊕ add resource			
instructional tools @ settings			
contract lesson	 published 	jul 7, 2016	view
notice, practice, produce	published	jul 12, 2016	view
immersion language only timeline visual	published	jul 12, 2016	view
immersion language only timeline lesson	published	jul 12, 2016	view





Copyright ©2016 add.a.lingua. All rights reserved.

How might we implement (and follow!) an *immersion language only policy and timeline*?

- Teach the add.a.lingua immersion language only policy and timeline lesson.
- Ask: How might you modify this for your grade level and/or context?





add.a.lingua pd1 primary teacher tool

immersion language development: lesson plan

immersion language only timeline lesson

teaching lesson

objective

Students will agree to follow the add.a.lingua immersion language only timeline to the best of their ability and clearly understand the system to which they will be held accountable.

materials: whiteboard, poster with a visual of the immersion language only timeline dates for your grade level (created by teacher), markers, blank paper

introduce: The teacher will...

- Ask students to think back to the previous year(s) and remember what the expectation was regarding the use of the immersion language and English during the school year. Invite students to share with a partner, then select a few students to share with the whole group.
- 2. Share the lesson objective.

teach: The teacher will...

- 1. Draw a circle on the whiteboard, and ask students to help turn the circle into a pie chart of language use.
- Ask students to work with a partner to create a list of as many contexts as possible in which they speak or use English (at home, recess, soccer practice, boy scouts, playing in the neighborhood, summer, etc.). After students have worked for a few minutes, call their attention, and invite students to share.
- 3. Use a marker to fill in a bit of the circle on the board, every time a student provides a context in which he/ she uses English. The circle should be mostly filled, but leave about 1/8 white.

How might we implement (and follow!) an *immersion language only policy and timeline*?

 Incentivize adherence to the immersion language only policy and timeline.





language incentives





WHAT? **3. Scaffold for success.**

"Instructional activities and interactional **feedback** that act as a counterbalance to the predominant communicative orientation of a given classroom setting will be more facilitative of interlanguage restructuring...' (Lyster & Mori, 2006: 294)" (Lyster & Mori, 2008, p. 140).



Words from Tara Fortune





How might we scaffold for success?





How might we scaffold for success? dialogic instruction

- teacher as facilitator of discussions
- student-centered learning
- students speak and write frequently
- students and teachers use circumlocution to stay in the target language and expand vocabulary







dialogic instruction

classroom culture feature: dialogic teaching



How might we scaffold for success?

teach "tools" (including circumlocution)





circumlocution lesson



add.a.lingua pd1 teacher tool

immersion language development: lesson plan

circumlocution lesson

teaching lesson

objective

Students will use circumlocution to talk around a word in Spanish without using any English and recognize situations in which to use this language strategy.

materials: tools in a toolbox/container, vocabulary cards (one per student), graphic organizer (web)- 1 large poster-sized and one copy for each student, poster, markers

introduce: The teacher will...

- Explain to students that just as they will grow in size and acquire more knowledge, they will also grow
 immensely as language learners throughout this school year. Remind students it is normal for them to still
 have many words or phrases they don't know how to say, but by using specific language tools, they can
 convey meaning and remain in Spanish so that they protect the immersion language.
- Show a toolbox, shaking the tools inside to captivate students' attention. Ask students why tools are necessary (because they are used to build/fix things). Share that today, students will learn about tools, specifically one called *circunloquio*, that will help them build their Spanish skills by staying in the target language even when they don't know a word or phrase.











How might we scaffold for success? corrective feedback

- Implementing appropriate Corrective Feedback techniques means that we must "offer learners an opportunity to self-repair by generating their own modified response" (Lyster and Mori, 2008, p. 137).
- Teacher asks for clarification, prompts students, and allows sufficient time for students to self-correct.



corrective feedback

L2 development feature: corrective feedback





research: say something

- Find a partner and determine who is partner A and B.
- Both partners read part 1 silently.
- Partner A says *something* related to or about the text.
- Partner B listens.
- Continue, alternating roles.



• Discuss what you notice or wonder after reading the entire document.



rotating review

- Walk from poster to poster, discuss the topic with your group. Think: "For optimal immersion language development and to keep students in the target language, we should...
 - see..." (1)
 - hear..." (2)
 - expect teachers to..." (3)
 - expect students to..." (4)
- Have a volunteer add your unique thoughts and ideas to the poster.



give & go

purpose: activate group energy and frame thinking surrounding strategies for keeping students in the target language

- grab an index card and fold it in half (2 rectangles in front, 2 in back)
- think of 1 strategy that you use to keep students in the target language, and write it in box #1 of your card
- stand up and "give and go" find a new person, introduce yourself, trade cards, talk about what's on them, and add your original strategy to your new card
- repeat until you've got four total strategies on your final card











We'd love to keep talking....in multiple languages

addalingua.com



references

Bialystok, E. (2009). Bilingualism: The good, the bad, the indifferent. Bilingualism: Language and Cognition 12 (1). Cambridge University Press.

Bournot-Trites, M. & Tellowitz, U. (2002). *Report of Current Research on the Effects of Second Language Learning on First Language Literacy Skills*. A Report Commissioned by the Atlantic Provinces Educational Foundation. Halifax.

Clark, B. A. (2000). First- and Second-Language Acquisition in Early Childhood. In D. Rothenberg (Ed.), *Issues in Early Childhood Education: Curriculum, Teacher Education, & Dissemination of Information Proceedings of the Lilian Katz Symposium (pp.181-190).* Champaign, IL: Early Childhood and Parenting Collaborative.

Cummins, J. (1979). Linguistic Interdependence and the Educational Development of Bilingual Children. Review of Educational Research. 49(2), 222-251.

Cummins, J. (1981). Bilingualism and minority language children. Ontario; Ontario Institute for Studies in Education.

Cummins, J. (1984). Wanted: A theoretical framework for relating language proficiency to academic achievement among bilingual students. In C. Rivera (Ed), *Language Proficiency and Academic Achievement*. Clavedon, UK: Multilingual Matters. Retrieved from http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED240882.pdf

de Jong, E. (2014). Program design and two-way immersion programs. In S. Björklund & D. J. Tedick (Eds.), Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education, 2(2), 241-256.

Ellis, R., (2006). Current Issues in the Teaching of Grammar: An SLA Perspective. TESOL Quarterly, 40(1), 83-107.

Fortune, T. W. (2000). Immersion Teaching Strategies Observation Checklist. ACIE Newsletter. Retrieved from http://www.carla.umn.edu/immersion/acie/vol4/nov2000.pdf

Fortune, T. W., & Tedick, D. J. (2015). Oral proficiency Assessment of English-Proficient K-8 Spanish Immersion Students. The Modern Language Journal. 99(4), 637-655.

Fortune, T. W., Tedick, D. J., & Walker, C. (2008). Integrated Language and Content Teaching: Insights from the Immersion Classroom. In T. W. Fortune & D. J. Tedick (Eds.), *Pathways to multilingualism: Evolving perspectives on immersion education* (pp. 71-96). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.

Gibbons, P. (2003). Mediating Language Learning: Teacher Interactions with ESL Students in a Content-Based classroom. TESOL Quarterly, 37(2), 247–273. http://doi.org/10.2307/3588504

Hamayan, Genesee, & Cloud (2013). Dual Language Instruction from A to Z. Portsmouth, NH; Heinemann.

Lindholm-Leary, K. (2005). Review of Research and Best Practices on Effective Features of Dual Language Education Programs. DRAFT. Retrieved from <u>http://www.lindholm-leary.com/resources/</u> review_research.pdf

Lindholm-Leary, K. (2007, July). Current Research on Dual Language Programs. Presented at the Texas Dual Language Conference for Administrators, San Antonio, Texas.



references

Lindholm-Leary, K., & Genesee, F. (2014). Student outcomes in one-way, two-way, and indigenous language immersion education. In S. Björklund & D. J. Tedick (Eds.), Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education 2:2, 165-180.

Lyster, R. (2007). Learning and Teaching Languages Through Content: A counterbalanced approach. Philadelphia: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Lyster, R., & Mori, H. (2006). Interactional feedback and instructional counterbalance. Studies in Second Language Acquisition 28(2), 269-300.

Lyster, R., & Mori, H. (2008). Instructional Counterbalance in Immersion Pedagogy. In T. W. Fortune & D. J. Tedick (Eds.), *Pathways to multilingualism: Evolving perspectives on immersion education* (pp. 71-96). Clevedon, UK: Multilingual Matters.

Lyster, R., Quiroga, J., & Ballinger, S. (2013). The effects of biliteracy instruction on morphological awareness. In S. Björklund & D. J. Tedick (Eds.), *Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education* 1(2), 169–197. doi: 10.1075/jicb.1.2.02lys

Lyster, R., & Saito, K. (2010). Oral feedback in classroom SLA: A meta-analysis. Studies in Second Language Acquisition 32 (2), 265-302.

Lyster, R., & Tedick, D. (2014). Research perspectives on immersion pedagogy: Looking back and looking forward. In S. Björklund & D. J. Tedick (Eds.), Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education 2(2), 210-222.

O'Neil, J. M., & Egan, J. (1992). Men's and women's gender role journeys: A metaphor for healing, transition, and transformation. In B. R. Wainrib (Ed.), *Gender issues across the life cycle* (pp.107-123). New York, NY: Springer.

Palmer, D., Ballinger, S., & Peter, L. (2014). Classroom interaction in one-way, two-way, and indigenous immersion contexts. In S. Björklund & D. J. Tedick (Eds.), Journal of Immersion and Content-Based Language Education, 2(2), 225-240.

Paradis, J., Genesee, F., & Crago, M. (2011). Dual language development and disorders: A Handbook on Bilingualism & Second Language Learning. Baltimore, London, Sydney: Paul H. Brookes Publishing.

Punchard, I. (2002). Improving Immersion Student Oral Proficiency by Fostering the Use of Extended Discourse. ACIE, The Bridge from Research to Practice.

Stedman, L. C. (1987). It's time we changed the effective schools formula. Phi Delta Kappan, 69, 215-24.

Swain, M., & Watanabe, Y. (2013). Languaging: Collaborative Dialogue as a Source of Second Language Learning. In C. A. Chapelle (Ed.), *The Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd. doi: 10.1002/9781405198431

Tedick, D. J., & Fortune, T. W. (2012). Dual language and immersion education challenges. Presentation. University of Minnesota.

Wong-Filmore, L. (2004). The Role of Language in Academic Development. Brief retrieved from https://www.scoe.org/docs/ah/AH_language.pdf

